

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/008,566	RECHE-GALLARDO ET AL.
	Examiner Prema M Mertz	Art Unit 1646

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Prema M. Mertz (Primary Examiner). (3) _____.

(2) Sheela Mohan Peterson (Attorney). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: claim 50.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was indicated to Sheela Mohan-Peterson that claim 50 was incorrectly dependent on "claim 46" rather than on "claim 47" Authorization was obtained to correct this dependency.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Prema Mertz
Examiner's signature, if required